arXiv:1209.5893v1 [math.DG] 26 Sep 2012

HARMONIC MAPS ON SMOOTH METRIC MEASURE SPACES AND THEIR CONVERGENCE

ZAHRA SINAEI

Abstract. This paper is a study of harmonic mappings defined on smooth metric measure spaces. We prove some of the classical results of Eells-Sampson [ES64] and Schoen-Yau [SY76], in this setting. We also prove a compactness theorem for a sequence of harmonic maps which are defined on a converging sequence of Riemannian manifolds.

1. Introduction

A smooth metric measure space is a triple $(M, g, \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol})$, where (M, g) is an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold, dvol denotes the corresponding Riemannian volume element on M, and Φ is a smooth positive function on M. These spaces have been studied extensively in geometric analysis and they arise as smooth collapsed measured Gromov-Hausdorff limits in the works of Cheeger-Colding [CC97, CC00a, CC00b], Fukaya [Fuk89] and Gromov [Gro07]. They have been studied recently by [Mor05]. See also [Lot03, Qia97, FLZ09, WW09, Wu10, SZ11, MW11].

Harmonic maps are critical points of the energy functional defined on the space of maps between Riemannian manifolds. Their theory was developed by J. Eells and H. Sampson [ES64] in the 1960s. In [ES64], it was proved that if N is a compact manifold with non-positive sectional curvature, any continuous map from a compact manifold into N is homotopic to a harmonic map. Eells and Sampson also proved that every harmonic map from a compact manifold with positive Ricci curvature to a negatively curved manifold is constant. In [SY76], Schoen and Yau improved this and proved that if M is a complete manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature and N is a compact manifold with non-positive curvature then any smooth map from M to N with finite energy is homotopic to constant on each compact set.

In this paper, we are going to study the behavior of harmonic maps under convergence. Let $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ denote the set of all compact Riemannian manifolds M such that $\dim(M) = n$, $\mathrm{diam}(M) < D$, and the sectional curvature $\mathrm{R}_M \mid$ satisfies, $|\,\mathrm{R}_M\,| \leq 1$, equipped with the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Let $(M_i, g_i, \mathrm{dvol}_i)$ in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ be a sequence of manifolds which converge to a smooth metric measure space $(M, g, \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M)$. Suppose $f_i : (M_i, g_i) \to (N, h)$ is a sequence of harmonic maps. We are

---

Date: September 26, 2012.

interested to know under what circumstances the f_i converge to a harmonic map f on the smooth metric measure space (M, g, Φ).

As a first step, we specify what we mean by harmonicity on smooth metric measure spaces. Let $f : M \to N$ be a smooth map where (N, h) is a Riemannian manifold. We say that f is harmonic on (M, g, Φ) if it satisfies

$$\tau(f) + df(\nabla \ln \Phi) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\tau(f)$ denotes the tension field of the map f defined in Subsection 2.1. We will later show that the stationary points of the energy functional

$$E(f) = E_\Phi(f) = \int_M e(f)\ \Phi \,\mathrm{dvol},$$

are solutions to equation (1). Here $e(f) = \frac{1}{2}|df|^2$ is the energy density of f. Riemannian submersions on smooth metric measure spaces with minimal fibers are examples of harmonic maps in this setting.

We will prove the analogues of the classical results mentioned earlier for harmonic maps in this setting. As a replacement for the Ricci curvature, we use the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor which first showed up in the study of diffusion processes. Specifically this tensor is defined as

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}_\infty = \mathrm{Ric} - \mathrm{Hess}(\ln \Phi).$$

Geometric, topological and analytical properties of smooth metric measure spaces with Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor bounded below have been subject to intensive study. See for example [Lot03], [WW09] and the references therein. We have the following theorems:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g, Φ) be a compact smooth metric-measure space with non-negative Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, N a manifold with non-positive sectional curvature, and $f : M \to N$ a harmonic map. Then f is a totally geodesic map.*

Theorem 1.2. *For every smooth map $f : (M, g, \Phi) \to (N, h)$, where M is a compact manifold and N is a negatively curved compact manifold, there is a harmonic map homotopic to f.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g, Φ) be a complete non-compact smooth metric measure space with Φ bounded, and non-negative Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, N a manifold with non-positive sectional curvature, and $f : M \to N$ a harmonic map of finite energy, $E_\Phi(f) < \infty$. Then f is a constant map.*

We now state our main result of this paper, which is a compactness theorem for sequences of harmonic maps.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M_i, g_i) be a sequence of manifolds in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ which converges to a metric measure space $(X, g, \Phi\mu_g)$ in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff Topology. Suppose (N, h) a compact Riemannian manifold. Let $f_i : (M_i, g_i) \to (N, h)$ be a sequence of harmonic maps such that $\|e_{g_i}(f_i)\|_{L^\infty} < C$, where $\|e_{g_i}(f_i)\|_{L^\infty}$ is the L^∞-norm of the energy density of the map f_i and*

C is a constant independent of i. Then f_i has a subsequence which converges to a map $f:(X,g,\Phi\cdot\mu_g)\to(N,h)$, belonging to $\mathcal{H}^1((X,\Phi\mu_g),N)$.

Here by $\mathcal{H}^1(X,N)$ we mean

$$\{f\in\mathcal{H}^1(X,\mathbb{R}^q)\;\big|\;f(x)\in N \text{ for almost all } x\in M\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^1(M,\mathbb{R}^q)$ is the standard Sobolev space for some isometric embedding of N in $\mathbb{R}^q$. In this paper we use the notations $\mathcal{H}^1$ and $W^{1,2}$ interchangeably.

Recently it came to our attention that Wang and Xu have also independently worked on harmonic maps on smooth metric measure spaces. They also obtained our theorems 1.1 and 1.3, see [WX12].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The second Section is divided into 3 sections. In Subsection 2.1, we define the notion of harmonicity on metric measure spaces, and justify the definition by comparing it with pre-existing definitions and results in special cases. In Subsection 2.2 we study the mean curvature of isometric immersions into a metric measure space using our definition of harmonicity, and show that this notion coincides with the one introduced in [WW09] and [Mor05]. Subsection 2.3 is devoted to examples of harmonic maps. The second Section is where we prove a Bochner type formula for harmonic maps on smooth metric measure spaces. This formula is the main ingredient in the first three theorems, which are also proved in Section 3. In the fourth Section we prove Theorem 1.4. The proof is based on an explicit description of the limit space X as a quotient of a Riemannian manifold by some action of an orthogonal group obtained by Fukaya [Fuk88, Fuk89]. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is provided in the appendix (Section 5), as it is very similar to the classical proof.

Acknowledgement

This work is part of my Ph.D. dissertation. I thank my advisor Professor Marc Troyanov for his guidance and support in the completion of this work. I also thank Stéphane Félix for many helpful discussions.

2. Harmonic Maps on Smooth Metric Measure Spaces

2.1. **Definition.** In this subsection we justify our definition of harmonicity on smooth metric measure spaces by showing that harmonic maps are critical points of the energy functional. We first recall the definition of harmonic map. Let $f:(M,g,\Phi)\to N$ be a smooth map where (M,g,Φ) is an n-dimensional smooth metric measure space and (N,h) is a Riemannian manifold. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ denote a local orthonormal frame field on M. The energy density of f is defined

$$e(f)=\frac{1}{2}|df|^2=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\langle f_*(e_i),f_*(e_i)\rangle$$

and the *energy functional* $E(f)$ with respect to the measure $\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}$ is defined

$$E(f)=E_\Phi(f)=\int_M e(f)\;\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}\,.$$

Its *tension* field $\tau(f)$ is the trace of second fundamental form of f

$$\tau(f)=(\nabla_{e_i}df)(e_i).$$

We say that a map f is *harmonic* on (M,g,Φ) if it satisfies

$$\tau(f)+df(\nabla\ln\Phi)=0. \tag{2}$$

Proposition 2.1. *The map f is harmonic if and only if for every compactly supported smooth variation f_t of f, such that $f_0=f$, we have $\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0}E(f_t)=0$.*

Proof. If f_t is a smooth variation of f, then in local coordinates we have

$$E(f_t)=\frac{1}{2}\int g^{ij}h_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial f_t^\alpha}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial f_t^\beta}{\partial x^j}\;\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}$$

and so

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}E(f_t)=\frac{1}{2}\int g^{ij}h_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{x^i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big|_{t=0}f_t^\alpha\right)\frac{\partial f_t^\beta}{\partial x^j}\;\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol} \tag{3}$$

$$=\;\frac{1}{2}\int g^{ij}h_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_iV^\alpha\frac{\partial f_t^\beta}{\partial x^j}\;\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}$$

$$=\;\frac{1}{2}\int\langle\nabla V,df\rangle\;\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}$$

where V is the variation vector of f_t. We have $V\in\Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$ and $\nabla V\in\Gamma(TM^*\otimes f^{-1}TN)$ and $df\in\Gamma(TM^*\otimes f^{-1}TN)$. Here $\Gamma(E)$ for a vector bundle E over M denotes the infinite dimensional vector space consisting of all sections of E. Equation (3) is called first variation formula. We define a vector X on M as

$$X=g^{ij}h_{\alpha\beta}V^\alpha\frac{\partial f^\beta}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

If we calculate the $\mathrm{div}(X)=\nabla_iX^i$, here $\nabla X=\sum\nabla_iX^jdx^i\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$, we have

$$\mathrm{div}(X)=\langle\nabla V,df\rangle+\langle V,\tau(f)\rangle$$

since f_t has compact support on M, so does X. By using Green's formula

$$\int\mathrm{div}(\Phi X)\;\mathrm{dvol}=\int\Phi\cdot\mathrm{div}(X)+\langle\nabla\Phi,X\rangle\;\mathrm{dvol}=0$$

and so

$$\int\langle\nabla V,df\rangle\;\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}+\int\langle V,\tau(f)\rangle\;\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}+\int\langle\nabla\Phi,X\rangle\;\mathrm{dvol}=0.$$

We can easily show

$$\langle\nabla\Phi,X\rangle=\langle V,df(\nabla\Phi)\rangle$$

By using the variation formula (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} E(f_t) &= \frac{1}{2}\int \langle \nabla V, df\rangle \; \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int \langle V, \tau(f) + df(\nabla \ln \Phi)\rangle \; \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol} \end{aligned}$$

now the proof is complete. □

2.2. **Minimal Immersion in Smooth Metric Measure Spaces.** We begin first by definition of minimal immersions in smooth metric measure spaces.

Definition 2.2. *Let* $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}, \phi)$ *be a smooth metric measure space. Suppose* (M, g) *is a Riemannian manifold and* $f : M \to \overline{M}$ *be an immersion with mean curvature vector* H. *We define*

$$\mathrm{H}_\Phi = \mathrm{H} - \frac{1}{n}(\nabla \ln \Phi)^N.$$

where $(\nabla \ln \Phi)^N$ *denotes the normal part of* $\nabla \ln \Phi$ *to the tangent space on* $f(M)$. *We call* H_Φ *the* Φ*-mean curvature vector of* M *in the smooth metric measure space* $(\overline{M}, \Phi)$. *The immersion* f *is called minimal if* $\mathrm{H}_\Phi \equiv 0$.

To justify our definition of minimality we prove a variation formula as in classical literature. Let vol_Φ denotes the volume with respect to the measure $\Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_{\overline{M}}$. Consider $\mathcal{I}(M, \overline{M})$, the space of all immersions $f : M \to \overline{M}$. Then $\mathrm{vol}_\Phi(f(M))$, is a functional on this space. The critical points of the volume functional are minimal submanifolds by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* M *and* $\overline{M}$ *be as in definition above and* $f : M \to \overline{M}$ *be an isometric immersion with mean curvature vector* H. *Let* f_t, $|t| < \epsilon$, $f_0 = f$ *be a smooth family of immersion satisfying* $f_t|_{\partial M} = f|_{\partial M}$ *and denote* $V = \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}|_{t=0}$ *to be the variational vector field along* f. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{vol}_\Phi(f_t(M)) &= \int_M \langle (\nabla \ln \Phi)^N - n\,\mathrm{H}, V\rangle \; \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_M \\ &= -n \int_M \langle \mathrm{H}_\Phi, V\rangle \; \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_M \, . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose g_t to be the induced metric of the immersion f_t and dvol_t its corresponding volume element. Choose a local orthonormal frame field $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ in M with respect to metric g_0. In this coordinate, $g_{ij}(t) = \langle f_{t*}e_i, f_{t*}e_j\rangle$ and $g(t) = \det(g_{ij}(t))$. Thus we have

$$\mathrm{vol}_\Phi(f_t(M)) = \int_M \sqrt{g(t)} \; \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_M \, .$$

We have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{vol}_\Phi(f_t(M)) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M g'(0) \; \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_M + \int_M \langle \nabla \ln \Phi, V\rangle \; \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_M,$$

and so

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{dvol}_t = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} g'_{kk}(0)\,\mathrm{dvol}\,.$$

After some calculation ([Xin03] Theorem 1.2.2), we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} g'_{kk}(0) = \mathrm{div}(V^T) - \langle n\,\mathrm{H}, V\rangle,$$

and finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathrm{vol}_\Phi(f_t(M)) &= \int_M \mathrm{div}(V^T) - \langle n\,\mathrm{H}, V\rangle\ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M + \int_M \langle \nabla \ln \Phi, V\rangle\ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M \\
&= \int_M \mathrm{div}(\Phi \cdot V^T)\ \mathrm{dvol} - \int_M \langle \nabla \Phi, V^T\rangle\ \mathrm{dvol}_M - \int_M \langle n\,\mathrm{H}, V\rangle\ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M \\
&+ \int_M \langle \nabla \ln \Phi, V\rangle\ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M \\
&= \int_M \langle \nabla \ln \Phi, V^N\rangle - \langle n\,\mathrm{H}, V\rangle\ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M \\
&= \int_M \langle (\nabla \ln \Phi)^N - n\,\mathrm{H}, V\rangle\ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M\,.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The calculations show that this notion of mean curvature of an isometric embedding into a metric measure space is consistent with the one introduced in [WW09] for geodesic spheres and with the one in [Mor05] for hypersurfaces.

2.3. **Examples of Harmonic Maps.** *1. Weighted curves:* A map $u : (\mathbb{R}, \Phi) \to N$ is harmonic if it satisfies

$$\nabla_{\dot{u}} \Phi \cdot \dot{u} = 0.$$

In fact every solution of the equation above is reparametrization of a geodesic in the manifold (N, h), $u(t) = \gamma(\rho(t))$, where $\rho'(t) = c\frac{1}{\Phi(t)}$, and c is an arbitrary constant.

2. Riemannian Submersions: Let $u : (M, g, \Phi) \to (N, h)$ be a Riemannian submersion. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for u to be a harmonic map is that the fibers be minimal as Riemannian submanifolds of (M, g, Φ). We choose a point x in M and an orthonormal frame $\{e'_i\}_{i=1}^n$ around $u(x)$. Denote by $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ its horizontal lift at point x. We extend $\{e_i\}$ to the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$ at x. u is harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau(u) + du(\nabla \ln \Phi) = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^{m} ({}'\nabla_{e_i} du(e_i) - du(\nabla_{e_i} e_i)) + du(\nabla \ln \Phi) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Name $'\nabla$ the induced connection on N. Also for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have

$$'\nabla_{e_i} du(e_i) = du(\nabla_{e_i} e_i).$$

For $n+1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $'\nabla_{e_i} du(e_i) = 0$. Finally we have

$$-du(\sum_{i=n+1}^{m} \nabla_{e_i} e_i) + du(\nabla \ln \Phi) = 0,$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{m} \nabla_{e_i} e_i - \nabla \ln \Phi \in V_x,$$

where V_x is tangent to the fiber $u^{-1}(u(x))$. This means that u is a harmonic map if and only if its fibers are minimal submanifolds in (M, g, Φ).

3. Co-differential and Bochner's Formula

In this section we are going to prove a Bochner formula for harmonic maps. First we set in place some definitions. Let E be a Riemannian vector bundle over the Riemannian manifold (M, g) and $\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}$ denote the density on M. For ω, ν in $\Gamma(\Lambda^p TM^* \otimes E)$ and θ in $\Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} TM^* \otimes E)$, we define the following inner products

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \mid \nu) &= \int \langle \omega, \nu \rangle \ \mathrm{dvol} \\ (\omega \mid \nu)_\Phi &= \int \langle \omega, \nu \rangle \ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We define the exterior differential and co-differential of a form ω on M as follow,

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_p) &= (-1)^k (\nabla_{X_k} \omega)(X_0, \dots, \widehat{X_k}, \dots, X_p) \\ \delta\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) &= (\nabla_{e_i} \omega)(e_i, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame filed on M and

$$(\nabla_X \omega)(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \nabla_X(\omega(X_1, \dots, X_p)) - \Sigma_j \omega(X_1, \dots, X_j, \dots, X_p)$$

Now let us compute the formal adjoint of d, $\tilde{\delta}$, with respect to inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_\Phi$. We know on a compact manifold M (without boundary), d and $-\delta$ are adjoint.

$$(d\omega \mid \theta) = (\omega \mid \ -\delta\theta)$$

here $\omega \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p TM^* \otimes E)$ and $\theta \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} TM^* \otimes E)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(d\omega \mid \theta)_\Phi &= \int \langle d\omega, \Phi \cdot \theta\rangle \ \text{dvol} \\ &= \int \langle \omega, -\delta(\Phi \cdot \theta)\rangle \ \text{dvol} \\ &= \int \langle \omega, -(d\Phi(e_i) \cdot i_{e_i}\theta + \Phi \cdot \delta\theta)\rangle \,\text{dvol} \\ &= (\omega \mid -(d \ln \Phi(e_i) \cdot i_{e_i} + \delta)\theta)_\Phi.\end{aligned}$$

We put

$$\tilde{\delta}\omega = \delta\omega + i_{\nabla \ln \Phi}\omega.$$

Therefore we can introduce a new notion of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\widetilde{\Delta}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Delta} &= d\tilde{\delta} + \tilde{\delta} d \\ &= \Delta + (d \circ i_{\nabla \ln \Phi} + i_{\nabla \ln \Phi} \circ d).\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$. It is easy to show that on a compact manifold, $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a self-adjoint semi-negative elliptic operator.

Lemma 3.1. *A map $f : (M, g, \Phi) \to N$ is harmonic on (M, g, Φ) if and only if df is co-closed. ($\tilde{\delta} df = 0$)*

Proof.

$$\delta(df) = (\nabla_{e_i} df)(e_i) = \tau(f)$$

and

$$\tilde{\delta} df = df(\nabla \ln \Phi) + \tau(f) = 0$$

□

To obtain our Bochner type formula we use a Weitzenbock formula on a Riemannain manifold which we represent in the following proposition (see [Xin96] Proposition 1.3.4).

Proposition 3.2. *Let ω be a p-form with values in a vector bundle. Then*

$$\Delta\omega = \nabla^2\omega - \mathrm{S} \tag{4}$$

where ∇^2 denotes the trace-Laplace operator

$$\nabla^2\omega = \nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}\omega$$

where $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = 0$ and for any $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ in $\Gamma(TM)$

$$S(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) = (-1)^k(\mathrm{R}(e_i, X_k)\omega)(e_i, X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_k, \ldots, X_p)$$

here R denotes the curvature connection.

For a given smooth map f, $f : M \to N$, we have

$$\mathrm{S}(X) = -\,\mathrm{R}^N(f_* e_i, f_* X) f_* e_i + f_*(\mathrm{Ric}^M X)$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : (M, g, \Phi) \to N$ be a harmonic map, then the following formula is satisfied*

$$\widetilde{\Delta} e(f) = |B(f)|^2 - \langle \mathrm{R}^N(f_*(e_i), f_*(e_j))f_*e_i, f_*e_j\rangle + \langle f_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}_\infty} e_i), f_*e_i\rangle \tag{5}$$

Proof. If we put $\omega = df \in \Gamma(TM^* \otimes f^{-1}TN)$ in formula (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta} e(f) &= \Delta e(f) + i_T \circ d\ e(f) \\ &= \langle \nabla^2 df, df\rangle + |B(f)|^2 + i_T \circ d\ e(f) \\ &= \langle \Delta df + S, df\rangle + |B(f)|^2 + i_T \circ d\ e(f) \end{aligned}$$

The first equality comes from $\Delta e(f) = \langle \nabla^2 df, df\rangle + |B(f)|^2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta} e(f) &= \langle \widetilde{\Delta} df - (d \circ i_T + i_T \circ d) df, df\rangle \\ &+ |B(f)|^2 + i_T \circ d\ e(f) \\ &- \langle R^N(f_*(e_i), f_*(e_j))f_*e_i, f_*e_j\rangle + \langle f_* Ric^M e_i, f_*e_i\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since f is harmonic then $\tilde{\Delta} df = 0$ and $(i_T \circ d)df = 0$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta} e(f) &= \langle -d \circ i_T\ df, df\rangle \\ &+ |B(f)|^2 + i_T \circ d\ e(f) \\ &- \langle \mathrm{R}^N(f_*(e_i), f_*(e_j))f_*e_i, f_*e_j\rangle + \langle f_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}_\infty} e_i), f_*e_i\rangle \\ &+ \langle f_*(\mathrm{Hess}(\ln \Phi)(e_i)), f_*e_i\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\langle -(d \circ i_T)df, df\rangle = -h((d \circ i_T df)(e_i), df(e_i)) = -h(\nabla_{e_i}(df(T)), df(e_i))$$

here h denotes the inner product on N.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_*(\mathrm{Hess}(\ln \Phi)(e_i)), f_*e_i\rangle &= h(df(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla \ln \Phi), df(e_i)) \\ &= h(df(\nabla_{e_i} T), df(e_i)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -h(\nabla_{e_i}(df(T)), df(e_i)) &= -h(df(\nabla_{e_i} T), df(e_i)) - h((\nabla_{e_i} df)T, df(e_i)) \\ &= -h(df(\nabla_{e_i} T), df(e_i)) - h((\nabla_T df)e_i, df(e_i)) \\ &= -h(df(\nabla_{e_i} T), df(e_i)) - \langle \nabla_T df, df\rangle \\ &= -h(df(\nabla_{e_i} T), df(e_i)) - \frac{1}{2} T \cdot \langle df, df\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_T K)(df, df) \\ &= -h(df(\nabla_{e_i} T), df(e_i)) - i_T \circ d\ e(f) \end{aligned}$$

where K is the metric on $TM^* \otimes f^{-1}TN$ and the connection ∇ is compatible with metric K: $\nabla_T K = 0$. Moreover, $i_T \circ d\ e(f) = \frac{1}{2} T \cdot \langle df, df\rangle$. Finally we get the Bochner-type formula (5) for harmonic maps on metric measure spaces:

$$\widetilde{\Delta} e(f) = |B(f)|^2 - \langle \mathrm{R}^N(f_*(e_i), f_*(e_j))f_*e_i, f_*e_j\rangle + \langle f_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}_\infty} e_i), f_*e_i\rangle \tag{6}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Here we state again the theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (M, g, Φ) be a compact smooth metric-measure space with non-negative Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, (N, h) a Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature and $f : M \to N$ a harmonic map. Then f is a totally geodesic map. Furthermore,*

(a) If the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature of the domain manifold M is positive somewhere, then f is a constant map.

(b) If the sectional curvature of the target manifold N is negative then f is either a constant map or $f(M)$ is a closed geodesic in N.

Proof. We integrate formula (5) on M with respect to the measure $\Phi \cdot dvol_M$, to get

$$-\int_M |B(f)|^2 \ \Phi \,\mathrm{dvol} = \int_M -\langle \mathrm{R}^N(f_*(e_i), f_*(e_j))f_*e_i, f_*e_j\rangle + \langle f_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}_\infty e_i), f_*e_i\rangle \ \Phi \,\mathrm{dvol}$$

The right hand side of the equality is positive and the left hand side is negative so we have

$$|B(f)|^2 \equiv 0$$

therefore f is totally geodesic.

(a) From the above we also have

$$-\langle \mathrm{R}^N(f_*(e_i), f_*(e_j))f_*e_i, f_*e_j\rangle + \langle f_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}^M_\infty e_i), f_*e_i\rangle \equiv 0$$

Suppose that the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature is positive at some point x. Choose a local frame field $\{e_i\}$ at point x which coincides with the principle direction of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}_\infty$ ($\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}_\infty$ is symmetric). We have $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}_\infty(e_i) = \lambda_i e_i$ and $\lambda_i > 0$ for each i at point x.

$$\langle f_*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}_\infty(e_i)), f_*(e_i)\rangle = \lambda_i \langle f_*(e_i), f_*(e_i)\rangle$$

and we have

$$\min \lambda_i |df|^2 \le \lambda_i \langle f_*(e_i), f_*(e_i)\rangle = 0$$

so $|df|^2 = 0$ at point x. On the other hand $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is an elliptic operator. So according to the maximum principle $e(f)$ must be constant and so f is a constant map.

(b) Note that we have

$$-\ \langle \mathrm{R}^N(f_*(e_i), f_*(e_j))f_*e_i, f_*e_j\rangle \equiv 0 \tag{7}$$

Here, there is no summation over the indices i and j. If there exists a point x such that at least two vectors f_*e_1 and f_*e_2 among f_*e_i, are linearly independent at x, then when the sectional curvature of N is negative,

$$\langle \mathrm{R}^N(f_*(e_1), f_*(e_2))f_*e_1, f_*e_2\rangle < 0$$

This contradicts (7). Hence the rank of f is at most one. If the rank of f is zero at one point, then from the above discussion $e(f)$ is constant and the rank is zero everywhere. Otherwise the rank of f is equal to one everywhere and $f(M)$ is a closed geodesic in N. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2

To prove the existence result, we follow the heat flow method for deforming a given map to a harmonic map. In the original case when $\Phi \equiv 1$, as in [Nis02], for the given map $f : (M, g) \to (N, h)$, the following initial value problem of the parabolic equation for harmonic maps is considered,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \tau(u(x,t)) \qquad (x,t) \in M \times (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = f(x) \end{cases}$$

the solution is called the local time dependent solution. It is proved that the above equation has solution on $M \times (0, \infty)$ and thus there is a subsequence t_i such that $u(\cdot, t_i)$ converges to a harmonic map $u(x, \infty)$ which is homotopic to f. Now if we consider the smooth metric measure space (M, g, Φ) and the initial value f, we should solve

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \tau(u(x,t)) + du(\nabla \ln(\Phi)) \qquad (x,t) \in M \times (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = f(x). \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Since M is compact, we still have a nonlinear parabolic equation with the same nonlinearity part. If we follow the same procedure as in [Nis02], we will see there is not much changes in the proof. We will leave the proof to the Section Appendix. There we explain very briefly why each step in original proof works in our case.

Proof of Theorem 1.3

By Bochner formula we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta} e(f) \geq |B(f)|^2$$

and by Schwarz inequality we have

$$|\nabla e(f)|^2 \leq 2e(f)|B(f)|^2.$$

From the equations above we conclude

$$\widetilde{\Delta}\sqrt{e(f)} \geq 0$$

or

$$\int_M \langle \nabla \sqrt{e(f)}, \nabla \eta \rangle \ \Phi \,\mathrm{dvol} \leq 0$$

for any $\eta \in C_0^\infty(M)$. This means that $\sqrt{e(f)}$ is Φ-subharmonic. By use of the following lemma we have $\sqrt{e(f)}$ is constant. According to Theorem 1.3 in [WW09] M has at least linear Φ-volume growth and so $e(f) \equiv 0$ on M. Therefore f is a constant map.

Lemma 3.5. *Let h be a non-negative smooth Φ-subharmonic function on M. Then $\int_M h^p \Phi \cdot \mathrm{dvol} = \infty$ for $p > 1$, unless h is a constant function.*

By Stokes formula for complete manifold (see Lemma 1 in [Yau82]), one can prove every Φ-subharmonic function h with $\int_M |dh| \;\Phi\, \mathrm{dvol} < \infty$ is Φ-harmonic. Then it is enough to repeat the argument in Theorem 3 in [Yau82], this time for Φ-subharmonic function and $\widetilde{\Delta}$ to prove the lemma.

Remark 1. *Theorem 1.3 is still true if we choose the function Φ such that $\phi = -\ln \Phi$ is a convex function and the set of its critical points is unbounded (see Theorem* 3.5 *in* [WW09]*).*

4. Harmonic Maps and Convergence

In this section we are going to prove Theorem 1.4. Let (M_i, g_i) in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ be a sequence of manifolds which converge to a metric space X. According to Fukaya [Fuk88], X is a quotient space $Y/O(n)$, where Y is a smooth manifold. Indeed Y is the limit point of the sequence of frame bundles, $F(M_i)$, over the manifolds M_i and X has the structure of a Riemannian polyhedron $(X, g_X, \Phi_X \mu_g)$ where μ_g is the Riemannian volume element related to the metric g_X on X and (g_X, Φ_X) is a $C^{1,\alpha}$-regular (simplexwise) pair.

We will do the proof of Theorem 1.4 in three cases: First we will prove it when the sequence (M_i, g_i) converges in $\mathcal{M}(n, D, v)$. $\mathcal{M}(n, D, v)$ denotes the set of manifolds in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$, with volume $\geq v$. In the second case we allow the sequence to collapse to a lower dimensional manifold. At last we will explain the proof when the limit is not manifold.

When a sequence of manifolds converges in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ to a manifold, they form a fiber bundle over the limit manifold. As a main step in the proof, we show that the f_is are almost constant on the fibers. For this, we were inspired by Theorem 0.4 in Fukaya [Fuk89].

When the limit point is not a Riemannian manifold, as we explained above, it can be written as $Y/O(n)$ where Y is a Riemannian manifold. A map $\phi : Y/O(n) \to N$ is harmonic iff $\phi = \phi \circ \pi$ is, where $\pi : Y \to Y/O(n)$ is the projection map (see [EF01]). We will use this fact to complete the proof in this case.

For future reference and to clarify our notations in the proof, we explain the meaning of a weakly harmonic map on a metric measure space. We also define what we mean by a converging sequence of maps.

Let (N, h) be the same as in Theorem 1.4 and i be the isometric embedding $i : N \to \mathbb{R}^q$ and π_N be the projection $\pi_N : \mathbb{R}^q \to N$. We denote $i \circ f$ the same as f unless we need to separate them. A map $f : (M, g, \Phi) \to (N, h)$, belonging to $\mathcal{H}^1_{loc}((M, \Phi\, \mathrm{dvol}), N)$ is a weakly harmonic map iff

$$\Delta f - \Pi(f)(df, df) + df(\nabla \ln(\Phi)) = 0 \text{ in the weak sense}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(f)(df,df) &= \operatorname{Hess}(\pi_N)(\nabla f,\nabla f) &(9)\\ &= \Sigma g^{ij}\frac{\partial^2\pi_N^A}{\partial z^B\partial z^C}\frac{\partial f^B}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial f^C}{\partial x^j} &(10)\end{aligned}$$

is the second fundamental form of the isometric embedding i, $B(i)(\nabla f,\nabla f)$.

According to [EF01], on an admissible Riemannian polyhedron X, a continuous weakly harmonic map $u:(X,g,\mu_g)\to(N,h)$ is of class $\mathcal{H}^1_{loc}(X,N)=W^{1,2}_{loc}(X,N)$ and satisfies: For any chart $\eta:V\to\mathbb{R}^n$ on N and any open set $U\subset u^{-1}(V)$ of compact closure in X, the equation

$$\int_U(\nabla\lambda,\nabla u^k)\,d\mu_g=\int_U\lambda(\Gamma^k_{\alpha\beta}\circ u)(\nabla u^\alpha,\nabla u^\beta)\,d\mu_g \tag{11}$$

holds for every $k=1,\ldots,n$ and every bounded function $\lambda\in\mathcal{H}^1_0(U)$. Here $\Gamma^k_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the Christoffel symbols on N. Similarly on a polyhedron X with a measure $\Phi\mu_g$ a continuous weakly harmonic map is a map in $\mathcal{H}^1_{loc}((X,\Phi\mu_g),N)$ which satisfies equation (11) with $\Phi\mu_g$ in place of μ_g.

Lemma 4.1 (Convergence of maps [Ron10])**.** *Let (X_i,p_i), (X,p), (Y_i,q_i) and (Y,q) be pointed metric spaces, such that (X_i,p_i) converges to (X,p) in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology (resp. (Y_i,q_i) converges to (Y,q)) and let $f_i:(X_i,p_i)\to(Y_i,q_i)$ be a continuous map.*

(i) If f_is are equicontinuous, then there is uniform continuous map $f:(X,p)\to(Y,q)$ and a subsequence X_{i_k} such that if $x_{i_k}\in X_{i_k}$ and x_{i_k} converges to x, then $f_{i_k}(x_{i_k})$ converges to $f(x)$. If this happens we say $f_i\to f$.

(ii) If f_is are isometries, then the limit map $f:(X,p)\to(Y,q)$ is also an isometry.

Now we are ready to start the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Case 1. M_i Converge to M in $\mathcal{M}(n,D,v)$.

To go through the proof in this case, we consider first the situation when a sequence of metrics g_i on a manifold M, converges to a metric g.

Lemma 4.2. *Let g_i be a sequence of metrics on smooth Riemannian manifold M and (M,g_i) converge to (M,g) in $\mathcal{M}(n,D,v)$. Suppose $f_i:M\to N$ is sequence of maps such that*

$$E_{g_i}(f_i)<C$$

where C is a constant independent of i. Then there exist a subsequence of f_i which converges weakly to some f in $\mathcal{H}^1_g(M,N)$. In addition, if the f_is are weakly harmonic maps in $\mathcal{H}^1_{g_i}(M,N)$, then f is also weakly harmonic map in $\mathcal{H}^1_g(M,N)$.

Proof. Since g_i converges to g in $\mathcal{M}(n,D,v)$ in $C^{1,\alpha}$ topology (see [Kas89]), it is easy to check that

$$|E_{g_i}(f_i) - E_g(f_i)| < o(\epsilon_i).$$

So f_i is a sequence with bounded energy and therefore a subsequence of f_i weakly converge to some f in $\mathcal{H}^1_g(M,N)$ (f_is form a sequentially compact set). Now to prove that f is also weakly harmonic, we have to show f satisfies Euler-Lagrange equation for harmonic maps, equation (9). To do this we have to verify that for any η in $C^\infty(M)$ we have

$$\int_M \langle (\Delta f - \Pi(f)(df, df)), \eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_g = 0$$

Since f_i converges weakly to f in $\mathcal{H}^1(M,N)$ and g_i converges to g in $C^{1,\alpha}$-topology, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle \Delta f, \eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_g &= \int_M \langle df, d\eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_g \\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle df_i, d\eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_{g_i} \\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle \Delta f_i, \eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_{g_i} \end{aligned}$$

Also for the same reason, we have

$$\int_M \langle \Pi(f)(df, df), \eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_g = \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle \Pi(f_i)(df_i, df_i), \eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_{g_i}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle (\Delta f - \Pi(f)(df, df)), \eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_g &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle (\Delta f_i - \Pi(f_i)(df_i, df_i)), \eta\rangle \ \mathrm{dvol}_{g_i} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

When M_i converges to M in $\mathcal{M}(n,D,v)$, we know that there is a $C^{1,\beta}$-diffeomorphism $\phi_n : M_n \to M$, such that the push forward of $\phi_{n*}(g_n)$ of the metrics g_n on M_n converges to the metric g (see [Kas89]). Since the map $\Phi_n : (M_n, g_n) \to (M, \phi_{n*}(g_n))$ is an isometry

$$E_{g_n}(f_n) = E_{\phi_{n*}(g_n)}(\bar{f_n}) \tag{12}$$

where $\bar{f_n}$ is the map $f_n \circ \phi_n^{-1}$. For each variation $F_n(x,t)$ of f_n, we can push-forward it to M to have $\bar{F_n}(x,t)$ and we have the same equality as (12), for these maps. In fact to define a weak harmonic map we do not need more than $\mathcal{H}^1$-regularity of the variation and C^1-regularity of the metric. So if f_n is an energy minimizing map, the same is true for $\bar{f_n}$ and we can conclude that $\bar{f_n} : (M, \phi_{n*}(g_n)) \to (N, h)$ is weakly harmonic. Again from (12), we have $E_{\phi_{n*}(g_n)}(\bar{f_n})$ is uniformly bounded. So all the assumptions of Lemma 4.2 are satisfied here and the proof of Theorem 1.4 in this case is complete.

Remark 2. *As we see in the proof the only assumption that we use here is the uniform bound on the energy functional.*

Case 2. M_i Converge to Manifold M in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$.

When the limit point in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ is a manifold, it has the structure of a quotient space $Y/O(n)$, where the action of $O(n)$ on the manifold Y has a single orbit type and M has the structure of a smooth manifold with a $C^{1,\alpha}$-regular pair (g, Φ). We assume first that,

$$|\nabla^k_{g_i} \mathrm{R}(M, g_i)| < C(k, n). \tag{13}$$

Before we continue, we recall some results from [Fuk89] and [Fuk88] in the following remark.

Remark 3. *Since M_i converges to M and M is a manifold, from the fiber bundle theorem (see* [Fuk87]*), we know that there is an ϵ_i-isometry, $\psi_i : M_i \to M$ which is a fiberation. Take an arbitrary point p_0 in M and put $p_i = \psi_i^{-1}(p_0)$. Consider the unit ball $B = B(0, 1)$ in $T_{p_i}M_i$ with the metric $\tilde{g}_i$ induced by the exponential map. By virtue of assumption (13), $\tilde{g}_i$ will converge to some g_0 in the C^∞-topology.*
There are local groups G_i converging to a Lie group germ G such that
1. G_i acts by isometries on the pointed metric space $((B, \tilde{g}_i), 0)$.
2. $((B, \tilde{g}_i), 0)/G_i$ is isometric to a neighborhood of p_i in M_i.
3. G acts by isometries on the pointed metric space $((B, g_0), 0)$.
4. $((B, g_0), 0)/G$ is isometric to a neighborhood of p_0 in M and the action of G is free.

It follows that there is a neighborhood U of p_0 in M and a C^∞ map $s : U \to B$ such that
1. $s(p_0) = 0$.
2. $Exp_{p_0} o s = Id$.
3. $d_{(B,g_0)}(S(q), 0) = d_N(q, p_0)$ holds for $q \in N$.

Therefore there is some ρ independent of i such that, $M = \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_\rho(x_j, M)$ and $B_\rho(x_j, M)$ satisfies the preceding conditions. Also we can construct a C^∞ section $s_{i,j} : B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j, M) \to M_i$ of ψ_i, such that

$$\frac{|(s_{i,j})_*(v)|}{|v|} < C \tag{14}$$

for each $v \in TB_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_j, M)$ and C is a constant independent of i. Hereafter we put $p_{i,j} = \psi_i^{-1}(x_j)$ and by $B(p_{i,j})$ we mean a ball centered at $p_{i,j}$, with radius ρ in $T_{p_{i,j}}M_i$.

Now we show that f_is are almost constant on the fibers of M_i.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $h_i : M_i \to i(N)$ be a smooth map on M_i which satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (9). Suppose $v_i \in T_p(M_i)$, satisfies $(\psi_i)_*(v_i) = 0$, and $v_i', v_i'' \in T_p(M_i)$ $(p \in B_{2\rho/3}(p_{i,j}, M_i))$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |v_i \cdot h_i| &\leq C_1 \cdot \epsilon_i \cdot |v_i| \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}) \qquad (15)\\ \|v_i' \cdot v_i'' \cdot h_i\|_{C^\alpha} &\leq C_2 \cdot |v_i'| \cdot |v_i''| \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}) \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

where C_1, C_2 and C_3 are some constants independent of i dependent on n and α.

Proof. We put $\Phi_{i,j} = \exp_{p_{i,j}} : B(p_{i,j}) \to M_i$ and $g_{i,j} = \Phi_{i,j*}(g_i)$ and $a = \Phi_{i,j}^{-1}(p)$.

From the Schauder estimate for elliptic equations we have,

$$\|h_i\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C' \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}) \qquad (17)$$

and hence

$$\|v_i' \cdot h_{i,j}\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C' \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}) \qquad (18)$$

Also from (17), and the fact that $g_{i,j}$ converges in C^∞,

$$\|\Pi(h_{i,j})(dh_{i,j}, dh_{i,j})\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C'' \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty})$$

and so by equation (9), we have

$$\|\Delta h_{i,j}\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C'' \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty})$$

Using the Schauder estimate for second derivative, we have

$$\|h_{i,j}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C''' \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}) \qquad (19)$$

and since C', C'' and C''' are independent of i, we have (16).

Now we will prove (15) by contradiction. We define a curve $l^i : [0, \rho/5] \to B(p_{i,j})$ by $l^i(t) = \exp_a(tv_i)$. From the fiber bundle theorem, we have

$$d(\Phi_{i,j} \circ l^i(t), p) < \epsilon_i'.$$

for each i and $t \in [0, \rho/5]$.
Assume that there is subsequence of h_i and a positive number A such that

$$|v_i \cdot h_{i,j}| > A \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty})$$

We know that

$$v_i \cdot h_i = v_i \cdot h_{i,j} = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} h_{i,j} \circ l^i(t).$$

There exist $\beta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ independent of i such that for any $t < \delta$, we have

$$|h_{i,j} \circ l^i(t) - h_{i,j}(a)| > \beta \cdot t \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}) \qquad (20)$$

To explain this, let $h_{i,j} \circ l^i(t) = g_{i,j}(t)$. We know from (19) that

$$|\frac{d}{dt^2}|_{t=0} g_{i,j}(t)| \leq C(\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}),$$

so for some fix δ and $0 < t < \delta$ we have

$$|g'_{i,j}(t) - g'_{i,j}(0)| \leq C' \cdot t \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty})$$

on the other hand we have

$$|g'_{i,j}(0)| > A \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}),$$

so for δ small enough and $t < \delta$ we have

$$|g'_{i,j}(t)| > \beta \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty})$$

We also know that

$$|g_{i,j}(t) - g_{i,j}(0)| = |g'_{i,j}(\theta_i) \cdot t| > \beta \cdot t \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty}).$$

There exist $b \in B(p_{i,j})$, such that $d(a,b) < \epsilon_i$ and $\Phi_{i,j}(l_i(s)) = b$, and for $s < \delta$ we have

$$|h_{i,j}(b) - h_{i,j}(a)| > \beta \cdot s \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty})$$

If we fix $\{\xi_k\}_{k=0}^{k=n}$ as a coordinate system at the point $a \in B(p_{i,j})$, for some b' we will have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k=n} \frac{\partial h_{i,j}}{\partial \xi^k} > C \cdot \beta \cdot \frac{\delta}{\epsilon_i} \cdot (\|\Delta h_i\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_i\|_{L^\infty})$$

and this contradicts (18). □

As we assumed $\|e(f_i)\|_{L^\infty} < c$ and by the Euler-Lagrange equation we have that $\|\Delta f_i\|_{L^\infty}$ is uniformly bounded. Moreover, $\|f_i\|_{L^\infty}$ is bounded, therefore by the above lemma, the maps f_is are equicontinuous. By Lemma 4.1, there is a limit map $f : M \to N$ which is continuous. Now we consider the following maps on M,

$$\tilde{f}_i = \sum \beta_j \cdot (i \circ f_i) \circ s_{i,j} \tag{21}$$

β_j is an arbitrary C^∞ partition of unity associated to $B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(x_j, M)$ and $s_{i,j}$ is the section associated to ψ_i as mentioned in the remark.
Along a subsequence which we again denote by f_i, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} f_i(s_{i,j}(x)) = f(x) \text{ for } x \in B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(x_j, M)$$

and also

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \tilde{f}_i(x) = i \circ f(x) \text{ for } x \in B_{\frac{\varrho}{2}}(x_j, M)$$

We claim that $\tilde{f}_i$ weakly converges to $i \circ f$ in $\mathcal{H}^1_g((M, \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}), \mathbb{R}^q)$. The energy density of the maps $\tilde{f}_i$ and in fact $\|e(\tilde{f}_i)\|_{C^\alpha}$ is uniformly bounded. This follows from the fact that the energy density of f_i is bounded and also $s_{i,j}$ satisfies in (14). By Lemma 4.2, there is a subsequence of $\tilde{f}_i$ which converges to a map $i \circ f \in \mathcal{H}^1_g((M, \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}), \mathbb{R}^q)$. Indeed by above lemma, we have $\|f_i\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ is bounded and again by (14), $\tilde{f}_i$ converge to $i \circ f$ in the C^1-topology.

Let (g_i^M, Φ_i^M) be the induced metric and measure on M by the fibration map $\psi_i : (M_i, g_i) \to (M, g)$. Choose a local orthonormal frame $\{\bar{e}_k\}_{k=1}^m$ on (M, g_i^M). Denote its horizontal lift on (M_i, g_i) by $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^m$. Suppose $\{e_t\}_{t=m+1}^n$ is a local orthonormal frame field of fiber submanifold F_i in M_i. Thus $\{e_k, e_t\}$ form a local orthonormal frame field in M_i. We will compute the tension field of the map f_i in these coordinates. (Note that we didn't put the index i for the orthonormal frame fields on (M_i, g_i) and (M, g_i^M).)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(f_i) &= (\nabla_{e_k} df_i)e_k + (\nabla_{e_t} df_i)e_t \\
&= (\nabla_{e_k} df_i)e_k + \nabla_{f_{i*}(e_t)} f_{i*}(e_t) \\
&- f_{i*}(\nabla_{e_t} e_t)^H - f_{i*}(\nabla_{e_t} e_t)^V \\
&= (\nabla_{e_k} df_i)e_k - f_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i) + \tau({f_i}^{\perp})
\end{aligned}$$

where ${f_i}^{\perp}$ denotes the restriction of f_i to the fibers F_i, and H_i is the mean curvature vector of the submanifold F_i.

Now we will investigate how each term of the equation above behave as $f_i \to f$.

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle di((\nabla_{e_k} df_i)e_k)(s_{i,j}(x)), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}\left(\frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\right) \tag{22}$$

$$= \int_M \langle \Delta^g i \circ f - B(\pi_N)(\nabla^g (i\circ f), \nabla^g (i \circ f)), \eta\rangle \ \Phi \,\mathrm{dvol}_M$$

Proof. If we imply composition formula for harmonic maps (see formula 1.4.1 in [Xin96]) for the map f_i we have

$$di(Bf_i(X_1, X_2)) = B(i \circ f_i)(X_1, X_2) - B(\pi_N)(d(i \circ f_i)(X_1), d(i \circ f_i)(X_2))$$

and so

$$di((\nabla_{e_k} df_i)e_k) = (\nabla_{e_k} d(i \circ f_i))e_k - B(\pi_N)(d(i \circ f_i)(e_k), d(i \circ f_i)(e_k))$$

First we show that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle (\nabla^{g_i}_{e_k} d(i \circ f_i))e_k(s_{i,j}(x)), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}\left(\frac{dvol_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\right) \tag{23}$$

$$= \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle (\nabla^{g_i^M}_{\bar{e}_k} d\tilde{f}_i)\bar{e}_k(x), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}\left(\frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\right)$$

$$= \int_M \langle (\Delta^g i \circ f, \eta\rangle \cdot \Phi \,\mathrm{dvol}_M$$

for any $\eta \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}^q)$. We have already proved the second equality. Hereafter we use f for $i \circ f$ and the dvol_{M_i} for $\frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}$. By definition of $\tilde{f}_i$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{\bar{e}_k} d\tilde{f}_i)\bar{e}_k &= \sum \big(d\beta_j(\bar{e}_k) \cdot df_i(s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_k)) \\
&+ \ \beta_j \cdot (\nabla_{\bar{e}_k} d(f_i \circ s_{i,j}))\bar{e}_k + \triangle\beta_j \cdot f_i \circ s_{i,j}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f_i \circ s_{i,j}$ converges in C^1 to f, we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |\sum d\beta_j(\bar{e}_k) \cdot df_i(s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_k))| = 0$$

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \sum \Delta\beta_j \cdot f_i \circ s_{i,j}(x) = \sum \triangle\beta_j \cdot f(x) = 0$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle (\nabla^{g_i^M}_{\bar{e}_k} d\tilde{f}_i)\bar{e}_k(x) - (\nabla^{g_i}_{e_k} df_i)e_k(s_{i,j}(x)), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}(\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}) \tag{24}$$

$$= \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle \sum \beta_j \cdot (\nabla_{\bar{e}_k} d(f_i \circ s_{i,j}))\bar{e}_k(x) - (\nabla^{g_i}_{e_k} df_i)e_k(s_{i,j}(x)), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}(\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i})$$

Using the composition formula

$$(\nabla^{g_i^M}_{\bar{e}_k} d(f_i \circ s_{i,j}))\bar{e}_k(x) = [(\nabla^{g_i}_{s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_k)} df_i)s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_k) + df_i((\nabla^{g_i^M}_{\bar{e}_k} ds_{i,j})\bar{e}_k)](s_{i,j}(x)) \tag{25}$$

Since $\psi_i : (M_i, g_i) \to (M, {g_i}^M)$ is a Riemannian submersion then

$$g^i(s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_k), s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_l)) = g_i^M(\bar{e}_k, \bar{e}_l) = \delta_{kl}$$

$s_{i,j}$ is an isometry and so the second term in (25) is equal to zero. Inserting this in (24) we get

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \sum \beta_j(x) \cdot \langle [(\nabla^{g_i}_{s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_k)} df_i)s_{i,j_*}(\bar{e}_k) - (\nabla^{g_i}_{e_k} df_i)e_k](s_{i,j}(x)), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}(\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i})$$
$$= 0$$

The equality above is true since each term in the right hand side of equation is the trace of $\nabla^{g_i} df_i$ on the vertical space of the fibers.

By the same argument we can show

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle B(\pi_N)(d(i \circ f_i)(e_k), d(i \circ f_i)(e_k))(s_{i,j}(x)), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}(\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}) \tag{26}$$

$$= \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle B(\pi_N)(\nabla^{g_i^M} \tilde{f}_i, \nabla^{g_i^M} \tilde{f}_i), \eta\rangle \ \psi_{i*}(\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i})$$
$$= \int_M \langle B(\pi_N)(\nabla^g i \circ f, \nabla^g i \circ f), \eta\rangle \ \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_M$$

so by (23) and (26), we conclude that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_M \langle di((\nabla_{e_k} df_i)e_k)(s_{i,j}(x)), \eta(x)\rangle \ \psi_{i*}\left(\frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\right) \tag{27}$$

$$= \int_M \langle \Delta^g i \circ f - B(\pi_N)(\nabla^g (i \circ f), \nabla^g (i \circ f)), \eta\rangle \ \Phi \, \mathrm{dvol}_M$$

$\square$

Now we will consider the second and third term in the decomposition of $\tau(f_i)$.

Lemma 4.5. *With the same assumptions as above, we have*

$$(a)\quad \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{M_i}\langle df_i(\mathrm{H}_i^x),\eta\circ\psi_i\rangle\ \frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}=\int_M\langle df(\nabla\ln\Phi),\eta\rangle\ \Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M \tag{28}$$

$$(b)\quad \lim_{i\to\infty}\|\tau(f_i{}^{\perp})\|=0 \tag{29}$$

here H_i^x *denotes the mean curvature vector of the fiber* $F_i^x=\psi_i^{-1}(x)$*.*

Proof. (a) To prove equality (28), we need the following.

Sublemma 1. *We have*

$$\nabla\ln\Phi(x)=-\lim_{i\to\infty}\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x).$$

Proof. Suppose X is a smooth vector field on M and X_i its horizontal lift on M_i. The flow θ_t^i of X_i, it sends fibers to fibers. According to the definition of the mean curvature vector field we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=o}\theta_t^{i*}(\mathrm{dvol}_{F_i^x})=-\int_{F_i^x}\langle X_i,\mathrm{H}_i^x\rangle\ \mathrm{dvol} \tag{30}$$

Also

$$\Phi_i(x)=\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\psi_i^{-1}(x))}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}$$

and by (30),

$$d\Phi_i(X)(x)=-\int_{F_i^x}\langle X_i,\mathrm{H}_i^x\rangle\ \frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)},$$

so for any η in $C^\infty(M)$,

$$\int_M\eta d\Phi_i(X)\cdot\mathrm{dvol}_M=-\int_M\int_{F_i^x}\eta(x)\langle X_i(y),\mathrm{H}_i^x(y)\rangle\ \frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\,\mathrm{dvol}_M$$

Taking the limit and by the definition of weak derivatives

$$\int_M\eta(x)d\Phi(X)(x)\ \ \mathrm{dvol}_M=-\int_M\eta(x)\lim_{i\to\infty}\langle X,\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x)\rangle\ \Phi(x)\,\mathrm{dvol}_M$$

and finally

$$\nabla\ln\Phi(x)=-\lim_{i\to\infty}\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x).$$

□

Again by the definition of $\tilde{f}_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{f}_i(x) &= \sum d\beta_j(x)\cdot f_i\circ s_{i,j}(x)\\ &+ \sum\beta_j(x)\cdot d(f_i\circ s_{i,j})(x)\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_M\langle d\tilde{f}_i(\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x)),\eta\rangle\ \psi_{i*}\left(\frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\right) \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{M_i}\langle d\tilde{f}_i(\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x))\circ\psi_i,\eta\circ\psi_i\rangle\ \frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\\
&= \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{M_i}\langle\sum[d\beta_j(\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x))\cdot(f_i\circ s_{i,j})]\circ\psi_i,\eta\circ\psi_i\rangle\ \frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\\
&+ \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{M_i}\langle\sum[\beta_j\cdot d(f_i\circ s_{i,j})(\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x)]\circ\psi_i,\eta\circ\psi_i\rangle\ \frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}\\
&= \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{M_i}\langle df_i(\mathrm{H}_i^x)\circ\psi_i,\eta\circ\psi_i\rangle\ \frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)}
\end{aligned}$$

the last equality comes from inequality (15) and the fact that $s_{i,j_*}(\psi_{i*}(\mathrm{H}_i^x))-\mathrm{H}_i^x$ is horizontal. By equation (31),

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{M_i}\langle df_i(\mathrm{H}_i^x),\eta\circ\psi_i\rangle\cdot\frac{\mathrm{dvol}_{M_i}}{\mathrm{vol}(M_i)} = -\int_M\langle df(\nabla\ln\Phi),\eta\rangle\cdot\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M$$

(b) We have

$$\tau({f_i}^{\perp}) = \nabla_{f_{i*}(e_t)}f_{i*}(e_t) - f_{i*}(\nabla_{e_t}e_t)^H$$

From (15) and (16)

$$|\nabla_{f_{i*}(e_t)}f_{i*}(e_t)| < C\cdot\epsilon_i \tag{32}$$

where C is a constant independent of i. To see this, write $f_{i*}(e_t)=\frac{\partial {f_i}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^t}Y^{\alpha}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{f_{i*}(e_t)}f_{i*}(e_t) &= \nabla_{\frac{\partial {f_i}^{\beta}}{\partial x^t}Y^{\beta}}\frac{\partial {f_i}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^t}Y^{\alpha}\\
&= \frac{\partial {f_i}^{\beta}}{\partial x^t}\left((Y^{\beta}\cdot\frac{\partial {f_i}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^t})Y^{\alpha}+\frac{\partial {f_i}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^t}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}Y^{\gamma}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and (32) follows. Again from (15), we have

$$\|f_{i*}(\nabla_{e_t}e_t)^H\|_{L^\infty} < C\cdot\epsilon_i|(\nabla_{e_t}e_t)^H|$$

and so we see that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\|\tau({f_i}^{\perp})\| = 0$$

□

Finally by (22), (28) and (29),

$$\int_M\langle\Delta_g f - B(\pi_N)(\nabla^g f,\nabla^g f) + df(\nabla\ln\Phi),\eta\rangle\Phi\,\mathrm{dvol}_M = 0 \tag{33}$$

and the proof of Theorem 1.4 with assumption (13) is complete.

In the general case, according to the smoothing result of Abresch [BMOR84], we can obtain new metrics $g_i(\epsilon)$ which satisfy in

$$\begin{aligned} |g_i(\epsilon) - g_i| &< o(\epsilon) \\ |\nabla_{g_i(\epsilon)} - \nabla_{g_i}| &< o(\epsilon) \\ |\nabla^k_{g_i(\epsilon)} \mathrm{R}(M_i, g_i(\epsilon))| &< C(k, n, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

For small ϵ, let $M(\epsilon)$ be the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of some subsequence $(M_i, g_i(\epsilon))$. By Lemma 2.3 in [Fuk88], $(M_i, g_i(\epsilon))$ and $(M(\epsilon), g(\epsilon))$ converge to (M_i, g_i) and (M, g) in $\mathcal{M}(n, D, v)$ respectively. f_i is a harmonic map on (M_i, g_i) and

$$|\tau_{g_i} f_i - \tau_{g_i(\epsilon)} f_i| < o(\epsilon).$$

Therefore if we consider the metric $g_i(\epsilon)$ on M_i, we see inequalities (15) and (16) in Lemma 4.3 are the same except that in the parentheses we have to add an $o(\epsilon)$, and thus the results about the convergence of the maps f_i and equalities (22), (28) and (29) are valid. We call the limit map f^ϵ. Then

$$\Big| \int_{M(\epsilon)} \langle \triangle_{g(\epsilon)} f^\epsilon - B(\pi_N)(\nabla^{g(\epsilon)} f^\epsilon, \nabla^{g(\epsilon)} f^\epsilon) - df^\epsilon(\nabla \ln \Phi(\epsilon)), \eta\rangle \cdot \Phi(\epsilon)\, \mathrm{dvol}_{M(\epsilon)} \Big| < o(\epsilon)$$

sending ϵ to zero and rewriting the proof of Theorem 1.4 in the first case when we have above inequality instead of the Euler-Lagrange equation for the sequence of maps, we see that the limiting map f satisfies (33).

Case 3. M_i Converge to Metric Space (X, d) in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$.

Now we are going to investigate the general case when the sequence converges to a singular space. This means that $M_i \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$ converges to some metric space (X, d). First we recall the following remarks.

Remark 4. [Bes08] *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and G a closed subgroup of isometries of M. Assume that the projection $\pi : M \to M/G$ is a smooth submersion. Then there exist one and only one Riemannian metric $\check{g}$ on $B = M/G$ such that π is a Riemannian submersion.*
We recall that using the general theory of slices for the action of a group of isometries on a manifold, one may show that there always exists an open dense submanifold U of M (the union of the principle orbits), such that the restriction $\pi|_U : U \to U/G$ is a smooth submersion.
Considering now M/G as a Riemannian polyhedron and μ_g as its Riemannian volume, the restriction of μ_g on U/G is equal to $\mathrm{dvol}_{U/G} = \mathrm{dvol}_{B-S(B)}$.

Remark 5 ([Fuk89], §3)**.** *We may assume that FM_i converges to $(Y, g, \Phi_Y \cdot \mathrm{dvol}_Y)$ with respect to the $O(n)$-measured Hausdorff topology. Moreover, since $\pi_i : F(M_i) \to M_i$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres and since the fibres are isometric to each other, it follows that $(FM_i, \mathrm{dvol}_{FM_i})/O(n) = (M_i, \mathrm{dvol}_{M_i})$. Hence by equivariant Gromov Hausdorff convergence M_i converges to $(X, \nu) = (Y, \Phi_Y \cdot \mathrm{dvol}_Y)/O(n)$ (see Lemma*

3.12 *in* [Fuk89]*) and by Remark (4)*

$$\nu(S(X)) = 0$$

and for all x we have

$$\Phi_X(x) = \int_{y\in\pi^{-1}(x)} \Phi_Y(y)\ \ \mathrm{dvol}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$$

where $\pi : Y \to X$ is the natural projection. For each open set U

$$\nu(U) = \int_U \Phi_X(x)\ \ \mathrm{dvol}_{X-S(X)}$$

If we denote the set of all points for which the isotropy group is not finite by $\tilde{S}(X)$, then

$$\tilde{S}(X) = \{x \in X | \Phi_X(x) = 0\}.$$

Remark 6 ([Fuk89], §7)**.** *Let Y be a Riemannian manifold on which $O(n)$ acts as isometries, and $c : Y \to [0,\infty)$ be an $O(n)$-invariant smooth function. Put $X = Y/O(n)$. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the natural projection, $\bar{c} : X \to [0,\infty)$ the function induced from c, and $S(X)$ the set of all singular points of X. Put*

$\mathrm{Lip}(X, S(X)) = \{u \in \mathrm{Lip}(X) \mid v \cdot u = 0$ *if v is perpendicular to* $S(X)\}$.

Define $Q_1 : \mathrm{Lip}(Y)\times\mathrm{Lip}(Y) \to [0,\infty)$ *and* $Q_2 : \mathrm{Lip}(X, S(X))\times\mathrm{Lip}(X, S(X)) \to [0, 1)$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) &= \int_Y c \cdot \langle\nabla\tilde{g}, \nabla\tilde{h}\rangle\ \ \mathrm{dvol}_Y \\ Q_2(g, h) &= \int_X \bar{c} \cdot \langle\nabla g, \nabla h\rangle\ \mu_g \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $f \circ \pi \in \mathrm{Lip}(Y)$ for each f contained in $\mathrm{Lip}(X, S(X))$. *Define $\pi_* : \mathrm{Lip}(X, S(X)) \to \mathrm{Lip}(Y)$ by $\pi_*(f) = f \circ \pi$. Let $\mathrm{Lip}_{O(n)}(Y)$ be the set of all $O(n)$- invariant elements of* $\mathrm{Lip}(Y)$. *Then, we can easily prove the following:*

Lemma 4.6. *π_* is a bijection between $\mathrm{Lip}(X, S(X))$ and $\mathrm{Lip}_{O(n)}(Y)$. For elements f , g of $\mathrm{Lip}(X, S(X))$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(f, g) &= Q_2(\pi_*(f), \pi_*(g)), \\ \int_Y c \cdot \pi_*(f)\pi_*(g)\ \ \mathrm{dvol}_Y &= \int_X \bar{c} \cdot fg\ \mu_g. \end{aligned}$$

The frame bundle $\pi_i : F(M_i) \to M_i$ is Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibres. So using the reduction formula, the map $\tilde{f}_i = f_i \circ \pi_i$ is harmonic on $F(M_i)$ and it is invariant under the action of $O(n)$. Furthermore $\|e(\tilde{f}_i)\|_\infty$ is bounded (π_i is a Riemannian submersion). Using Theorem

1.4, $\tilde{f}_i$ will converge to some map $\tilde{f}$ on $(Y, g, \Phi_Y \operatorname{dvol}_Y)$. The map $\tilde{f}$ satisfies in

$$\int_Y \langle\ \Delta_g(i\circ\tilde{f}) - B(\pi_N)(\nabla^g(i\circ\tilde{f}), \nabla^g(i\circ\tilde{f})) \tag{34}$$

$$+d(i\circ\tilde{f})(\nabla \ln \Phi_Y)\ ,\ \eta\ \rangle\ \Phi_Y \operatorname{dvol}_Y = 0,$$

where η is in C^∞. The map f is also $O(n)$ invariant and continuous. Consider the quotient map f such that $\tilde{f} = f\circ\pi$. First we show that f is in $\mathcal{H}^1_{loc}((X,\nu),N)$, or to be precise $i\circ f$ is in $\mathcal{H}^1_{loc}(X,\nu)$. By Theorem 1.4, $\tilde{f}$ is in $\mathcal{H}^1_{loc}((Y,\Phi_Y \operatorname{dvol}_Y),N)$ (In fact $\tilde{f}$ is in C^1) and so by Lemma 4.6, f is locally of finite energy.
Now, we show that f is weakly harmonic on (X,ν). By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\int_Y \langle \Delta_g(i\circ\tilde{f}), \eta\rangle \cdot \Phi_Y\ \operatorname{dvol}_Y = \int_X \langle \Delta(i\circ f), \pi(\eta)\rangle\ \Phi_X \operatorname{dvol}_X .$$

$$\int_Y \langle B(\pi_N)(\nabla^g(i\circ\tilde{f}), \nabla^g(i\circ\tilde{f})), \eta\rangle\ \Phi_Y \operatorname{dvol}_Y =$$
$$\int_X \langle B(\pi_N)(\nabla(i\circ f), \nabla(i\circ f)), \pi(\eta)\rangle\ \Phi_X \operatorname{dvol}_X$$

and since $\Phi_Y = \pi_*(\Phi_X)$

$$\int_Y \langle d(i\circ\tilde{f})(\nabla\ln\Phi_Y), \eta\rangle\ \Phi_Y \operatorname{dvol}_Y = \int_X \langle d(i\circ f)(\nabla \ln\Phi_X), \pi(\eta)\rangle\ \Phi_X \operatorname{dvol}_X$$

which shows that $f : X \to N$ is a weakly harmonic map.

5. Appendix

In this section we give the sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2. First using the same method of the proof of the Bochner type formula for harmonic maps we have,

Proposition 5.1. *Let $u \in C^0(M\times[0,T),N)\cap C^\infty(M\times(0,T),N)$ be a solution to the equation (8) and let $u_t(x) = u(x,t)$. We have in $M\times(0,T)$,*

(a) Weitzenbock formula for $e(u_t)$:

$$\frac{\partial e(u_t)}{\partial t} = \widetilde{\Delta} e(u_t) - |B(u_t)|^2$$
$$+ \langle R^N(u_{t*}(e_i), u_{t*}(e_j))u_{t*}e_i, u_{t*}e_j\rangle - \langle u_{t*}(\widetilde{Ric_\infty} e_i), u_{t*}e_i\rangle \tag{35}$$

(b) Weitzenbock formula for $k(u_t)$:

$$\frac{\partial k(u_t)}{\partial t} = \widetilde{\Delta} k(u_t) - |\nabla\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}|^2 + \langle R^N(u_{t*}(e_i), u_{t*}(e_j))u_{t*}e_i, u_{t*}e_j\rangle \tag{36}$$

where $k(u_t) = \frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t}\right|^2$.

Theorem 5.2 (Existence of time-dependent local solutions)**.** *Let* (M, g, Φ) *be a compact smooth metric measure space and* (N, h) *be compact Riemannian manifold. For a given map* $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(M, N)$*, there exist a positive number* $T = T(M, N, \Phi, f, \alpha) > 0$ *and* $u \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T), N) \cap C^\infty(M \times (0, T), N)$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) = \tau(u(x, t)) + du(\nabla \ln(\Phi)) & (x, t) \in M \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = f(x). \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

For the definition of $C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T))$ we refer the reader to the page 135 of the book [Nis02].

Proof. To prove this theorem, we reduce equation (37) to a system of parabolic differential equation for vector valued functions. Let i be an isometric embedding of M into an Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^q$, for q large enough. Let $\tilde{N}$ be a tubular neighborhood of N

$$\tilde{N} = \{(x, V) | x \in i(N), v \in T_x i(N)^\perp, |v| < \epsilon\},$$

for sufficiently small ϵ. For $u : M \times [0, T) \to \tilde{N}$, we consider the following initial value problem.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \widetilde{\Delta} u(x, t) - \Pi(u)(du, du) & (x, t) \in M \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = i \circ f(x). \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

(see formula (9), for the definition of $\Pi(u)(du, du)$.) One can prove that if u is the solution to the equation (38) and $u(M \times [0, T)) \subset i(N)$ holds, then u is a solution to the equation (38). The converse also holds true.

The proof of existence of a time-dependent local solution (Theorem 4.7 in [Nis02]) is based on three steps. We explain why each step works in our situation. The proof uses the inverse function theorem in Banach spaces. The idea of the inverse function theorem is to reduce solvability of a nonlinear differential equation to solvability of a linearized equation.

Step 1. (Construction of an approximate solution). By identifying f with $i \circ f$, we consider the following system of linear parabolic equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \widetilde{\Delta} v(x, t) - \Pi(f)(df, df) & (x, t) \in M \times (0, 1) \\ v(x, 0) = f(x). \end{cases}$$

By the assumptions on f, there is unique solution v in $C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, 1], \mathbb{R}^q)$.

Step 2. (Application of the inverse function theorem). Consider the following differential operator on $C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, \epsilon), N)$:

$$P(u) = \widetilde{\Delta} u - \partial_t u - \Pi(u)(du, du)$$

for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. We define the (Banach) subspaces X and Y in $C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T), N)$ and $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(M \times [0, T), N)$ respectively(see page 138 for the exact definition). For a given $z \in X$ if we set

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = P(v + z) - P(v),$$

then $\mathcal{P}$ is a map from X into Y and $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$. $\mathcal{P}$ is Ferechet differentiable in a neighborhood of 0 and $\mathcal{P}'(0)$ is an isomorphism and so $\mathcal{P}$ is homeomorphism in a neighborhood of 0. Therefore there exist small $\delta = \delta(M, N, f) > 0$ such that for k in Y with $|k|^{\alpha,\alpha/2} < \delta$, there exists unique z in X such that

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = k, \qquad z(x,0) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t z(x,0) = 0$$

Set $P(v) = w$, $u = v + z$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} P(u)(x,t) = (w+k)(x,t) & (x,t) \in M \times (0,1) \\ u(x,0) = f(x) \end{cases}$$

Step 3. With appropriate choice of a function $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ (page 139 in [Nis02]), and setting $k = -\zeta w$, for sufficiently small T there exist some u (constructed as in step 2) which satisfies in equation (38). □

Theorem 5.3 (Existence of time-dependent global solutions)**.** *Let (M, g, Φ) be a compact smooth metric measure space and (N, h) a compact Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. For a given map $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(M, N)$, there exists a unique $u \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0,\infty), N) \cap C^{\infty}(M \times (0,\infty), N)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \tau(u(x,t)) + du(\nabla \ln(\Phi)) & (x,t) \in M \times (0,\infty) \\ u(x,0) = f(x). \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

Proof. The following propositions are the main steps in the proof of this theorem. We should mention that the techniques used for the proofs of these two propositions related to operator $\widetilde{\Delta}$, such as the maximum principle for elliptic and linear parabolic equation, their solutions and their heat kernels are valid in our setting. These properties have been completely studied in the book [Gri09] in chapters $4-7$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $u \in C^{2,1}(M \times [0,T), N) \cap C^{\infty}(M \times (0,T), N)$ be a solution to the equation (37) and let $u_t(x) = u(x,t)$. Assume N has non-positive curvature and $\widetilde{Ric}^M \geq -cg$ for a constant $c \in R$. Let $0 < \epsilon < T$. Then the following holds for the energy density $e(u)$ of u:*

$$(a) \qquad e(u_t)(x) \leq e^{2ct} \sup_{x \in M} e(f)(x) \qquad (x,t) \in M \times [\epsilon, T),$$

$$(b) \qquad e(u_t)(x) \leq C(M,\epsilon) E_{\Phi}(f) \qquad (x,t) \in M \times [\epsilon, T).$$

$C(M,\epsilon)$ is a constant depending only on M and ϵ

Proposition 5.5. *Let $u \in C^{2,1}(M \times [0,T), N) \cap C^{\infty}(M \times (0,T), N)$ be a solution to the equation (37). If N is of non-positive curvature, then for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists a positive number $C = C(M, N, \Phi, f, \alpha)$ such that*

$$|u(.,t)|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M,N)} + |\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{C^{\alpha}(M,N)} \leq C \tag{40}$$

at any $t \in [0,T)$.

The proof of the existence of a time-dependant global solution follows from inequality (40) and a contradiction argument. The uniqueness comes from the maximum principle for parabolic equations. □

Finally we verify that u as a solution to the equation (39) converges to a harmonic map which is free homotopic to f. Let $\{t_i\}$ be a sequence of times which tends to infinity. By inequality (40), the sequences $u(.,t_i)$ and $\partial_t u(.,t_i)$ converge uniformly to u_∞ and $\partial_t u_\infty$. In view of equation (36), $\partial_t u_\infty = 0$ and so u_∞ is a harmonic map which is free homotopic to $f(x) = u(x,0)$.

References

[Bes08] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition.

[BMOR84] Josef Bemelmans, Min-Oo, and Ernst A. Ruh. Smoothing Riemannian metrics. *Math. Z.*, 188(1):69–74, 1984.

[CC97] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 46(3):406–480, 1997.

[CC00a] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(1):13–35, 2000.

[CC00b] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(1):37–74, 2000.

[EF01] J. Eells and B. Fuglede. *Harmonic maps between Riemannian polyhedra*, volume 142 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. With a preface by M. Gromov.

[ES64] James Eells, Jr. and J. H. Sampson. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86:109–160, 1964.

[FLZ09] Fuquan Fang, Xiang-Dong Li, and Zhenlei Zhang. Two generalizations of Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem via Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 59(2):563–573, 2009.

[Fuk87] Kenji Fukaya. Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones of lower dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 25(1):139–156, 1987.

[Fuk88] Kenji Fukaya. A boundary of the set of the Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvatures and diameters. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28(1):1–21, 1988.

[Fuk89] Kenji Fukaya. Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones with lower dimension. II. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 41(2):333–356, 1989.

[Gri09] Alexander Grigor'yan. *Heat kernel and analysis on manifolds*, volume 47 of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[Gro07] Misha Gromov. *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, english edition, 2007. Based on the 1981 French original, With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes, Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.

[Kas89] Atsushi Kasue. A convergence theorem for Riemannian manifolds and some applications. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 114:21–51, 1989.

[Lot03] John Lott. Some geometric properties of the Bakry-Émery-Ricci tensor. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78(4):865–883, 2003.

[Mor05] Frank Morgan. Manifolds with density. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52(8):853–858, 2005.

[MW11] Ovidiu Munteanu and Jiaping Wang. Smooth metric measure spaces with non-negative curvature. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 19(3):451–486, 2011.

[Nis02] Seiki Nishikawa. *Variational problems in geometry*, volume 205 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original by Kinetsu Abe, Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics.

[Qia97] Zhongmin Qian. Estimates for weighted volumes and applications. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 48(190):235–242, 1997.

[Ron10] Xiaochun Rong. Convergence and collapsing theorems in Riemannian geometry. In *Handbook of geometric analysis, No. 2*, volume 13 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 193–299. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010.

[SY76] Richard Schoen and Shing Tung Yau. Harmonic maps and the topology of stable hypersurfaces and manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 51(3):333–341, 1976.

[SZ11] Yan-Hui Su and Hui-Chun Zhang. Rigidity of manifolds with bakrymery ricci curvature bounded below. *Geometriae Dedicata*, pages 1–11, 2011.

[Wu10] Jia-Yong Wu. Upper bounds on the first eigenvalue for a diffusion operator via Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 361(1):10–18, 2010.

[WW09] Guofang Wei and Will Wylie. Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor. *J. Differential Geom.*, 83(2):377–405, 2009.

[WX12] Guofang Wang and Deliang Xu. Harmonic maps from smooth metric measure spaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 23(9):1250095–1250116, 2012.

[Xin96] Yuanlong Xin. *Geometry of harmonic maps*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 23. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[Xin03] Yuanlong Xin. *Minimal submanifolds and related topics*, volume 8 of *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.

[Yau82] Shing Tung Yau. Erratum: "Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry" [Indiana Univ. Math. J. **25** (1976), no. 7, 659–670; MR **54** #5502]. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 31(4):607, 1982.

E-mail address: `zahra.sinaei@epfl.ch`